# Irish comments on CD ISO/IEC 10646-1/PDAM 14 (Yi)

Date: 1998-06-30

Q1: Ireland approves the PDAM registration.

**Q2:** Ireland disapproves the draft with the comments given below. Acceptance of these reasons and appropriate changes in the text will change our vote to approval.

#### Technical:

### 1. Yi Syllables.

The order of the characters in this PDAM does *not* conform to the order which was agreed in principle by WG2, despite the fact that it does conform to the document sent out for PDAM. Mao Yonggang confirmed in January 1997 that the order of the syllables given in Bburx 1984 would be used. That order differs from the order in the PDAM. In Bburx 1984, Yi syllables are ordered according to initial consonant, then vocalic nucleus, then tone, with the following suborders:

b p bb nb hm m f v d t dd nd hn n hl l g k gg mg hx ng h w z c zz nz s ss zh ch rr nr sh r j q jj nj ny x y 0

i ie a uo o e u ur y yr

The corrections Ken Whistler sent to the unicode@unicode.org list on 1998-04-14 should be applied to the names list, as he correctly identifies error in that list and the means for correcting them. Then the names list should be reordered according to the initial suborder given above. The glyphs should then be reordered according to that order. Until that is done it is not possible to evaluate correctly the relationship between the glyphs and the names. We strongly urge that a font be made available to the editor so that the FPDAM can be correctly prepared for timely ballotting, otherwise we do not believe that Yi will be able to be ready in time to include in the next edition of ISO/IEC 10646.

### 2. Yi Radicals

Bburx 1984 gives 55 radicals (26 main radicals and 29 radical variants) all of which should be included in the Yi Radicals collection. Four of these were omitted from the repertoire in this PDAM. An additional seven radicals not found in Bburx 1984 but appearing in the PDAM should also be included in the FPDAM, bringing the total number of radicals to 62. The table and names list attached should be used.

The names LAVT, YRRT, and VRRT in that names list do not conform to the syllabic structure given above. LAT, YRT, and VURT would conform. CISSEP seems unique in that it is disyllabic, in which case the name should probably be CI SSEP. The names of the last four characters must be verified.

### **Editorial:**

- 1. The words Syllables and Radicals should appear with initial capital letters in the title and Clause 25.
- 2. The size of the cells in the glyph table should conform exactly to the practice of ISO/IEC 10646.
- **3.** The decimal digits should run from 000 to 255 (not 0000 to 0255) repeating for each set 00 to FF, and not run from 0000 to 1164.
- 4. Real fonts should be used for the Radicals. It is possible that we will be able to provide these to WG2.

**TABLE 232 - Row A4: YI RADICALS** 

	A49	A4A	A4B	A4C
0	1		4	X
1	7	)	7	X
2	L		4	$\bowtie$
3	7	)	3	X
4	7	7	3	X
5	7_	$\sim$	E	*
6	7	^	W	X
7	7	U	ųр	T
8	7-7	1	ひ	T
9	7	V	w	11
Α	S	۵	$\Upsilon$	_
В	4	0	J	`
С	5	0	4	/
D	C	0	2	\
E	7 7 7 75	Δ	ما	
F	(	d	Χ	

G = 00 P = 00

# **TABLE 232 - Row A4: YI RADICALS**

dec	hex	Name	dec	hex	Name
144 145 146 147 148 149 150 151 152 153 154 155 156 167 168 169 171 172 173 174 175 177 178 179 180 181 182 183 184 185 186 187 189 190 191 192 193 194 195 196 197 197 198 199 199 199 199 199 199 199 199 199	901 923 945 667 89 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99	YI RADICAL LI YI RADICAL LI YI RADICAL KIT YI RADICAL KIT YI RADICAL KIT YI RADICAL SI YI RADICAL SI YI RADICAL GOP YI RADICAL GOP YI RADICAL GOP YI RADICAL MI YI RADICAL HXIT YI RADICAL HXID YI RADICAL LY YI RADICAL LY YI RADICAL GOP YI RADICAL GOP YI RADICAL GOP YI RADICAL BUR YI RADICAL TU YI RADICAL JUT YI RADICAL JUT YI RADICAL JUT YI RADICAL JUT YI RADICAL SHY YI RADICAL SHY YI RADICAL SHY YI RADICAL SHY YI RADICAL JUR YI RADICAL JUR YI RADICAL JUR YI RADICAL JUP YI RADICAL LIE YI RADICAL LIP YI RADIC			