| Title: | Proposal to remove the question on Levels in Proposal Summary Form |
| :--- | :--- |
| Source: | V.S. Umamaheswaran - umavs@ca.ibm.com) |
| References: | WG2 Principles and Procedures - Proposal Summary Form |

## Background

Since the first publication of ISO/IEC 10646 implementation of the standard has come a long way. Most of the implementations now have full support for combining characters and associated compositions for complex scripts. The initial reason for having three different implementation levels in the standard and the need to identify the level needed to support a proposed script has essentially disappeared.

The feedback received from users of the Proposal Summary Form and the Principles and Procedures document is that 'the question on levels should be removed', since it has outlived its usefulness and relevance (in Part B - Technical, General part of the Proposal Summary Form). It reads as:
4. Proposed Level of Implementation (1, 2 or 3) (see Annex K in P\&P document):

Is a rationale provided for the choice? If Yes, reference:
(Note: this item was discussed at UTC 107 and an action item to draft this proposal was assigned to the author.)

## Proposal

It is proposed that the above question and associated annex information from the Principles and Procedures document related to Levels of Implementation in the standard - Level 1, 2 or 3 be removed. As a consequence, Questions 5 through 9 in Part B of the form will be renumbered to 4 through 8. A sample of the revised first page of the form is attached.

PROPOSAL SUMMARY FORM TO ACCOMPANY SUBMISSIONS FOR ADDITIONS TO THE REPERTOIRE OF ISOIIEC $10646^{1}$ Please fill all the sections $A, B$ and $C$ below.
Please read Principles and Procedures Document (P \& P) from http://www.dkuug.dk/JTC1/SC2/WG2/docs/principles.html for guidelines and details before filling this form.
Please ensure you are using the latest Form from http://www.dkuug.dk/JTC1/SC2/WG2/docs/summaryform.html. See also http://www.dkuug.dk/JTC1/SC2/WG2/docs/roadmaps.html for latest Roadmaps.

## A. Administrative

1. Title:
2. Requester's name:
3. Requester type (Member body/Liaison/Individual contribution):
4. Submission date:
5. Requester's reference (if applicable):
6. Choose one of the following:

This is a complete proposal:
(or) More information will be provided later:

## B. Technical - General

1. Choose one of the following:
a. This proposal is for a new script (set of characters):

Proposed name of script:
b. The proposal is for addition of character(s) to an existing block:

Name of the existing block:
2. Number of characters in proposal:
3. Proposed category (select one from below - see section 2.2 of P\&P document):

| A-Contemporary | B.1-Specialized (small collection) | B.2-Specialized (large collection) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C-Major extinct | D-Attested extinct | E-Minor extinct |
| F-Archaic Hierogly | or Ideographic | G-Obscure or questionable usage symbols |

4. Is a repertoire including character names provided?
a. If YES, are the names in accordance with the "character naming guidelines" in Annex L of P\&P document?
b. Are the character shapes attached in a legible form suitable for review?
5. Who will provide the appropriate computerized font (ordered preference: True Type, or PostScript format) for publishing the standard?
If available now, identify source(s) for the font (include address, e-mail, ftp-site, etc.) and indicate the tools used:
6. References:
a. Are references (to other character sets, dictionaries, descriptive texts etc.) provided?
b. Are published examples of use (such as samples from newspapers, magazines, or other sources)
of proposed characters attached?
7. Special encoding issues:

Does the proposal address other aspects of character data processing (if applicable) such as input, presentation, sorting, searching, indexing, transliteration etc. (if yes please enclose information)?
8. Additional Information:

Submitters are invited to provide any additional information about Properties of the proposed Character(s) or Script that will assist in correct understanding of and correct linguistic processing of the proposed character(s) or script. Examples of such properties are: Casing information, Numeric information, Currency information, Display behaviour information such as line breaks, widths etc., Combining behaviour, Spacing behaviour, Directional behaviour, Default Collation behaviour, relevance in Mark Up contexts, Compatibility equivalence and other Unicode normalization related information. See the Unicode standard at http://www. unicode.org for such information on other scripts. Also see http://www.unicode.org/Public/UNIDATA/UCD.html and associated Unicode Technical Reports for information needed for consideration by the Unicode Technical Committee for inclusion in the Unicode Standard.
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