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0. Introduction. This proposal requests two additional letters for Coptic, and three generic punctuation marks for use with (at least) Coptic, Greek, and Latin, and a symbol with a special function in Coptic linguistics. If this proposal is accepted, the following characters will exist:


1. Additional letters for Coptic. A large number of Coptic manuscripts and printed books distinguish between two different forms of the letter Khei. One is encoded as $\mathfrak{b} \mathfrak{b}$ COPTIC LETTER KHEI at $\mathrm{U}+03 \mathrm{E} 6-\mathrm{U}+03 \mathrm{E} 7$. In order to represent the orthography of these texts explicitly, $\mathbf{U} \mathbf{U}$ COPTIC LETTER BOHAIRIC KHEI is proposed for encoding here at $\mathrm{U}+2 \mathrm{CF} 2-\mathrm{U}+2 \mathrm{CF} 3$. (Figures 1, 2, 3, 4, 5)
2. Raised dot. This character is required to fill a standardized set of punctuation marks used both in Greek and in Coptic. Edward Maunde Thompson describes the usage in Greek in his Introduction to Greek and Latin Palaeography (1912, p. 60):

A more regular system was developed in the schools of Alexandria, its invention being ascribed to Aristophanes of Byzantium ( 260 в.c.). This was the use of the full point with certain values in certain positions ( $\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ ): the high point ( $\sigma \tau 1 \gamma \mu \eta \geqslant \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \alpha$ ), equivalent to a full stop; the point on the line ( $\dot{\tau} \pi 0 \sigma \tau 1 \gamma \mu$ ๆ́), a shorter pause, equivalent to our semicolon; and the point in a middle position ( $\sigma \tau 1 \gamma \mu \eta \eta \eta \varepsilon ́ \sigma \eta$ ), an ordinary pause, equivalent to our comma.

In UCS terms, the stigme teleia is equivalent to U+00B7 the middle dot (being at x-height vis-à-vis Greek and Coptic uncial), the hypostigme $\bar{e}$ is equivalent to $\mathrm{U}+002 \mathrm{E}$ the full stop (being on the baseline), and the equivalent to the stigm $\bar{e}$ mese $\bar{e}$ is RAISED DOT proposed here. Note that the specific definition of the raised dot is that its height is between the full stop and the middle dot. Note too that a dot at this position can be found in three dot punctuation, five dot mark, and five dot punctuation. Compare raised dot plus Colon with three dot punctuation thus - $\because \therefore$. The height of the dots is paradigmatic.

The three dots at different heights were used in Coptic texts as in Greek ones. Hans Quecke (1977) describes this usage using slightly different terms than Thompson did:

> And here [in this particular manuscript] one can recognize principles according to which the use of the dot in one of the three possible positions - stigme $\bar{e}$ an $\bar{o}$ [MIDDLE DOT], stigme $\bar{e}$ esese $\bar{e}$ [RAISED DOT], and stigme $\bar{e}$ kat $\bar{o}$ [FULL STOP] - seems to be regulated. The dot up above the line [MIDDLE DOT] comes closest in usage to our full stop and marks the strongest break. The dot down on the line [FULL sTop] occurs after pre-posed clauses and phrases... Also among the many occurrences of dots at the halfway height of the line [RAISED DOT] one can recognize at least two characteristic usages, that between parallel members, and that before direct and indirect speech. (Original German text in Figure 8.)

Hans Martin Schenke (1991) also describes the Coptic usage of the three dots at different heights:
The dot as a punctuation mark ... occurs in various positions: well above the line (that is, superior, at the height of the topmost part of a normal letter) [MIDDLE DOT], on the line [FULL STOP], and at various heights in between [RAISED DOT].... Taken all in all, the various heights of the dots may be seen to indicate a difference in function.... Of these dots, the one well above the line [MIDDLE DOT] clearly has the greater force of division and marks, as a rule, the break between complete sentences or verses. In contrast, both the mid-level dot [RAISED DOT] as well as the dot on the line [FULL STOP] - even though as a practical matter they are met also in this function, more or less - serve in principle only to set off clauses. The dot on the line has furthermore a certain outward affinity to the comma, whose tail extending below the line can be of varying length. Probably the comma is also a mark for dividing off (smaller) parts of a sentence. (Original German text in Figure 9.)
(See Figures 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, and 11.)
3. Raised comma. In many Coptic manuscripts, RAISED COMMA is a punctuation mark distinct both from COMmA and Raised dot. Occasionally, this feature of Coptic punctuation has been rendered very nicely in Coptic fonts. (Figures 12.1, 12.2, 12.3, 13.1, and 13.2)
4. Middle comma. This character was previously proposed in N3193 "Proposal to add Medievalist and Iranianist punctuation characters to the UCS" (2007-01-09). A number of characters in that document were put off for further study and this is one of them. In medieval European manuscripts, the middLE COMmA is used along with middle dot for a certain kind of positura: ', This is one of a set of positurae which can be composed with existing characters: ., and .,. are other examples. The middle comma is also used as an abbreviation mark; for instance, when it follows long $s(\Omega)$, the reading is sed 'but'. (Figures $6,13.2,14,15)$
5. Small raised dagger. This character has a special function in Coptic linguistics to mark the "stative" (alias "qualitative") forms of Coptic verbs, or the meaning of a stative form, in dictionaries and grammars. We do not know who first introduced this convention into Coptic linguistics, but its usage is now nearly a century old, at least, and firmly established. The existing DAGGER (a normal full-sized $\dagger$ on the baseline) is used by Copticists as well, as in text editions generally, to mark ("obelize") corrupt passages. (Figures 16, 17)
6. Comparison of relative glyph heights of existing punctuation and the characters proposed here. In the illustration below, COLON, SEMICOLON, COMMA, FULL STOP, the proposed RAISED DOT, MIDDLE DOT, the proposed Raised comma, the proposed middle comma, three dot punctuation, five dot mark, five dot punctuation, Dagger, and the proposed small raised dagger are shown. In the first line there is a space between the characters; in the second there is no space. Some characters are coloured blue for contrast; the proposed characters are coloured red.

7. Unicode Character Properties. Character properties are proposed here.


2CF3;COPTIC SMALL LETTER BOHAIRIC KHEI;Ll;0;L; ; ; ; N; ; ; 2CF2; ; 2CF2
2E40;SMALL RAISED DAGGER;PO;O;ON; ; ; ; $N$; ; ; ; ;
2E41;RAISED DOT;PO;0;ON; ; ; ; N; ; ; ; ;

2E43;MIDDLE COMMA;Po;0;ON; ; ; ; N; ; ; ; ;
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Figures


Figure 1. Sample from Störk 1996 (p. 316) of a printed Coptic text showing KHEI in line 1 and bohairic KHEI in line 4.


Figure 2. Sample from Störk 1995 (p. 65) of a Coptic manuscript showing KHEI in line 1 and bOHAIRIC KHEI in line 2.


Figure 3. Sample from Störk 1995 (p. 66) of a Coptic manuscript showing bohairic KHEI in line 1 and KHEI in lines 5 and 6.


Figure 4. Sample from Störk 1995 (p. 79) of a Coptic manuscript showing bohairic khei in line 2 and KHEI in lines 3 and 6


Figure 5. Sample from Störk 1995 (p. 116) of a Coptic manuscript showing bOHAIRIC KHEI in line 2 and KHEI in lines 3 and 4.

|  |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Figure 6. Sample from Schenke 1991 (p.96) showing both Raised dot and middee dot in a single passage of printed Coptic text: see, for example, thpc• (raised dot) in line 1, and minkere' (middle dot) in line 2. In this particular font, MIDDLE DOT is set somewhat higher than the $x$-height of the Coptic glyphs. In the last line, the middle comma, used as a morpheme divider, is also set high, and follows the



Figure 7. Sample from Quecke 1977 (p. 121) showing both RAISED DOT and MIDDLE DOT as well as FULL STOP in a single passage of printed Coptic text. RaISED dot can be seen in column 1, line 3, גamex•; middle dot can be seen in column 2, line 5 , етм̄ma $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime}$; full stop can be seen in column 2 , line 12 , тe.; COLON can be seen in column 1, line 14, мппоүте: .

Punkte als Satzzeichen werden im Lk-Teil weit häuliger verwendet als im Mk-Teil ${ }^{1}$ und auch in etwas abwcichender Weise. Es finden sich aber auch im Lk-Teil noch genügend Stellen, an denen keinerlei Satzzeichen steht, obwohl man ein solches crwartet. Im Gegensatz zum Mk-Teil, in dem fast ausschließlich der hochgestellte Punkt (in verschiedenen Stellungen) verwendet wird, macht der Lk-Tcil auch ausgiebigen Gebrauch von dem Punkt unten auf der Linie. Und es lassen sich hier schon eher Prinzipien erkennen, nach denen der Gebrauch des Punktes in einer der drei möglichen Stellungen - oriү $\dagger \grave{\jmath}$ äv $\omega$, $\sigma \pi เ \gamma-$ $\mu \eta ̀ ~ \mu \varepsilon ́ \sigma \eta$ und $\sigma т \iota \gamma \mu \grave{̀}$ кát由 - geregelt scheint ${ }^{2}$. Der Punkt ganz oben auf der Zeile kommt unserem Punkt am nächsten und bedeutet den stärksten Einschnitt. Der Punkt unten auf der Linie steht nach voranstehenden Satzteilen und Teilsätzen. Hicrher gehören alle Arten von Vordersätzen, vor allem konditionalc, aber auch äquivalente Konstruktionen wie Umstandssäťe oder verwandte wie Temporalsätze. Ein typischer Gebrauch ist sodann der nach satzeinleitendem Vokativ. Eine ganze Reihe von Vorkommen betrifft die Verwendung zwischen fortführenden Sätzen, teilweise vor Partikeln in entsptechender Anwendung ( $\mathbf{~} \Lambda \Lambda \boldsymbol{A}, \Delta \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ oder , und''), aber auch ohne eine solche (z. B. Lk 23,18) und nach entsprechenden Fragen (z. B. Lk 7,26). Zweimal stcht dieser Punkt sogar im Nominalsatz vom Typ Subjekt/Prädikat/Kopula nach dem Subjekt (124 a 21 und b 1; 125 a 20), das durch cin Attribut erweitert ist. Auch das zweimalige Vorkommen vor komparativem ,,als" (Lk 15,$7 ; 17,2$ ) wird man als reguläre Verwendung dieses Zeichens ansehen können. Nur zwcimal (von insgesamt etwa 80 Vorkommen)
${ }^{1} \mathrm{Vgl} . \operatorname{Mk} 16 \mathrm{f}$.
${ }^{2}$ Die Stellung des Zeichens ist fast immer klar. Nur an wenigen Stellen kann man
 stchen bei einem $\mathbf{T}$ unter dessen Querbalken.
16
steht die $\sigma$ тıүцض̀̀ кát由 nach meinem Urteil am Satzschluß (Lk 2,49; 18,21), was man ohnc Schwierigkeit als Versehen betrachten kann. Auch unter den vielen Vorkommen des Punktes auf halber Höhe der Zeile lassen sich zumindest zwei charakteristische Gebrauchsweisen erkennen, die zwischen parallelen Gliedern und die vor der direkten und indirekten Rede. Im letzten Fall kann der Punkt vor oder hinter dem $X \in$ stehen, wobei zu Beginn des Lk-Teiles der Handschrift die beiden Stellungen ziemlich wahllos wechscln, später aber die Stcllung hinter dem $X \in$ deutlich überwicgt ${ }^{1}$.
x Auch hierin ein gewisser Unterschied zum Mk-Tcil, wo bei XE gewöhnlich gar kein Punkt steht, aber vor dem $\mathbf{X} \in$, wo er ausnahmsweise gesetzt ist; siehe Mk 17.

Figure 8. Quecke 1977, pp. 15-16, with a statement about the use in Coptic manuscripts of punctuating dots at three different heights; see $\S 3$ above on page 2 . Footnote 2 to the first passage reads: "The placement of the mark is almost always clear. Only in a few places is it doubtful whether the stigme an $\bar{o}$ [MIDDLE DOt] or mese [RAISED DOT] is intended. The stigme katō [FULL STOP] and mes $\bar{e}$ [RaISED DOt] when next to a t stand under its horizontal bar." Thus: t. full stop, t• Raised dot, t" middle dot. The Greek terms used here are literally stigme anō 'dot above', stigme mese 'middle dot', stigme $k$ katō 'dot below'.

Mit dem Punkt als Satzacichon verhalli es sich im Codox Glazier wie im Codex Scheide. Ir kommt in verschiedenen Stellungen vor: auf der Oberzeile (also hochgestellt, auf der Höhe des oberen Endes der Normalbuchstaben), auf der Unterzeile und in verschiedenen Höhen dazwischen. Die Position des Punktes kann äußere Griunde haben. Er wird gern da gesetzt, wo am meisten Platz ist; d.h., hinter $\mathbf{T}$ und $\gamma$ wird cr - unabhängig von seiner Funktion - häufig niedrig gesetzt. Gleichwohl dürfte - aufs Ganze gesehen - die verschiedene Höhe der Punkte eine Differenz in der Funktion anzeigen. Die konkrete Weise, wie in der Handschrift die Punkte wirklich gesetzt sind, legt es nahe, die fast oben und die fast unten gesetzten Punkte als bloß graphische Varianten des oberzeiligen bzw. des unterzeiligen Punktes zu deuten und in allen übrigen verschiedene Ausführungen eines Punktes auf halber Höhe zu sehen - und entsprechend im Druck wiederzugeben. ${ }^{85}$ Von diesen Punkten hat der oberzeilige deutlich die größere Trennungskraft und bildet in der Regel die Zäsur zwischen ganzen Sätzen bzw. Versen. Demgegenüber dienen sowohl der halbhohe als auch der unterzeilige Punkt, obgleich sie praktisch, mehr oder weniger, auch in dieser Funktion begegnen, im Prinzip nur der Abgrenzung von Saizteilen. Der unterzeilige Punkt hat wiederum eine gewisse äußerliche wie funktionelle Affinität zum Komma, dessen von der Unterzeile ausgehender Schwanz verschieden lang ausfallen kann. Auch das Komma ist wohl eine Zäsur zur Abgrenzung von (kleineren) Satzteilen. Freilich könnte man an der realen Existenz des Kommas in unserer Handschrift fast zweifeln. In den meisten Fällen könnte man jedenfalls die betreffenden, in der Handschrift ja wirklich vorhandenen Schwänze auch als etwas nach rechts verrutschte Bogenschlußelemente der Buchstaben $\epsilon$ und $C$ deuten. ${ }^{86}$ Aber einerseits erscheint der Schwunz auch, wenn das Bogenschlußelement, normalerweise ein aufgesetzter Punkt, schon da ist, andererseits erscheint das gleiche Zeichen auch nach dem Buchstaben $\boldsymbol{X}$. Gleichwohl bleibt die Wiedergabe des Schwanzes nach $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ und $\mathbf{C}$ eine Interpretationsfrage. Wir interpretieren and drucken dieses Zeichen nach $\epsilon$ und $C$ als Satzteiltrenner "Komma", wenn es deutlich von dem Buchstaben abgeriuckt ist, groß genug ausfällt und an einer Stelle erscheint, wo eine Zäsur Sinn gibt. Man könnte dann in beaug auf die Kommata unserer Handschrift sagen: Das Komma erfüllt nach Wörtern, die auf $\epsilon, \mathrm{c}$ und $\mathcal{X}$ enden, in etwa bzw. weithin die gleiche Funktion wie der Apostroph bei den Wörtern mit den Endkonsonanten B, K, 人, M, N, П, P, $\boldsymbol{T}, \mathbf{q}(, \boldsymbol{\Gamma}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \mathbf{O})$. Nur in dieser Optik dürfte übrigens verständlich sein, daB das Komma auch am Ende eines Satzes erscheint mit dem satztrennenden Punkt darüber.

[^0]Figure 9. Schenke 1991, pp. 39-40, with a statement about the use in Coptic manuscripts of punctuating dots at three different heights; see $\S 3$ above on page 2 .


Gospels.-A.D. 949


Figure 10. Sample from Thompson 1912 showing Greek text distinguishing usage of stigme an $\bar{o}$ [MIDDLE DOT] and stigme mese [RAISED DOT] in manuscript and in not-very-inspired typography.


Bible (Conex Alexandrinus).-Fifth Century
Figure 11. Sample from Thompson 1912 showing Greek text distinguishing usage of stigme ano [MIDDLE DOt] and stigme $\bar{e}$ mese [RAISED DOT] and stigme kato [FULL STOP] in manuscript and in not-very-inspired typography.


Figure 12.1. Sample of raised dot (line 2) as distinct from raised comma (line 9) and colon (line 13) in a Coptic manuscript. (The COPTIC MORPHEME DIVIDER occurs here in lines 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 14)


Figure 12.2. Sample of raised comma (lines 2,8 ) as distinct from comma (lines 7,8 ) and colon (line 6) in a Coptic manuscript. (The COPTIC MORPHEME DIVIDER occurs here in lines 1, 2, 7, 8, 9).


Figure 12.3. Sample of Raised COMMA (lines 8,14 ) as distinct from COMMA (lines 17,20 ), full Stop (line 10), raised dot (line 2), and colon (line 22) in a Coptic manuscript. (The Coptic morpheme DIVIDER occurs here in lines $3,4,8,8,10,11,12,14,16,17,18,19,20,21,22)$.


Figure 13.1. Sample from Chassinat 1911 (p. 63) of a printed Coptic text showing examples of RAISED COMMA in lines $1,4,7$, and 20 ; compare the COMMA in lines 11,15 , and 19 .









Figure 13.2. The same text typeset in two different orthographies. Both orthographies distinguish RAISED comma from comma. Some orthographies indicate morpheme boundaries using the middle comma, as in the top example (and in the printed text in Figure 6), while other orthographies indicate morpheme boundaries using the COPTIC MORPHEME DIVIDER, as in the bottom example (and in the printed text in Figure 13.1).
capaf fume Qoumillirgenalieerconuperunt-cï adhuc adromantam zueneruenemnt ficommu nionempsierine quiderrobreruandunn ne. siconutuio rologentalum. vereirimmoletievfurt funt porfunt enunur $\alpha$ manurimpoftionepur garn. uedenncepridolazacirabitinenter facrain corum $\times$ pipormire erreparticeper $S$ a aiom auz idolum adoraverunt, aux homerdur ut form cationibur concamenati funte ad communioñ̈a

Theological Tracts. - - . 1.821
(capli sont - et cum illis gentiliter convixerunt - cum | adhue ad romanian. iuvenes venerint. si commulnionem petierint quid eis observandum sit. Si convivio solo gentilium - et escis immolaticis usi $\mid$ sunt - possunt iciuniiet manus inpositione purgari - ut deinceps idolaticis abstinentes • sacram $=$ torum christi possint esse participes ., Si autem | ant idolum adoraverunt $\cdot$ ata $^{\text {a }}$ : homicidiis vel fornicationibus contaminati sunt . ad communionem)

Figure 14. Sample from Thompson 1912, showing middLe dot followed by middle comma.
of Seville. An examination of the abbreviations of Monte Cassino 205 saec. xi suffices to convince us that many of them are not of the traditional Beneventan stock. The constant use of symbole $\mathscr{q}=$ quo $\quad \mathrm{f}=$ sed,$\dot{f} \Rightarrow$ secundum and of the form nōe $=$ nomine speaks for firsular influence. By a fortunate coincidence the Insular origin of the archetype can be attested by other means.

Figure 15. Sample from Loew 1914, showing LONG s followed by middle Comma with the reading sed 'but'.
aT+PHES, -a بphx= $S B$ negative adj, limitless,
 of Eph $38 B$; 2 Pet $24 S$ ( $B$ Gk diff); BMis $416 S$ of sea, BG $81 S$ of light.

ac $S F$, aac $S$, ec $A F$, anac $S B$, amec $F$ adj, old: a. Lev $2522 S B$, Jos 9 io $S$, Jer 45 in $B$, Mt 9 I7 SB, Ro $66 S B$ тàacós; Is $6522 S B$ сяре па., Не 8 13 SBF sim ma入aloûv; Si 912 S (only) á $\rho \chi a \hat{o} o s ;$ CaiEuch $460 B$ enetraxss ra. $\chi$ оóvios; AM 252 B tграфн па. Old Test, MG

Wess $18124 S$ I cannot strike with orug. or with оүберше, Mor 3158 S † поүяннще пщ.

## aeifc $S v$ asar.

acai $S F$, ecsees $A$, acsas $B$, cwor ${ }^{+}$S (acoort ? pg-2iv, aewor ib), acerclor ${ }^{+} S$ (Jor 27), ecsuor ${ }^{+} A$, acswor ${ }^{+}$daswor 2 Kg 22. Cat 53 \&c) $B O($ Aze21
 коuфí̧elv, BSM 13 B of floods eaya., BM $1104 S$ of health, Tell us xeatitea. b. with dependent


Figure 16. Sample from Crum 1939 (p. 17, see col. 2, lines 4-6) showing standard Coptological usage of a SMALL RAISED DAGGER to mark a certain verb form called the "stative" (or "qualitative").

161 Specific negation of the lexical content (as such) of an infinitive is expressed
 "Not making Him angry"; пт $\bar{M}-$ oп- $\bar{\kappa}$ ApophPatr 105 (Chaîne 25:2 $=7$. 298:7) "Not to think highly of oneself" 181(f); nanoy- ${ }^{0}$ т $\bar{M}$-oүem- ${ }^{0}$ aq oүде е- ${ }^{\text {тм }}$ "-се- ${ }^{\text {н }}$ р $\overline{\boldsymbol{\pi}}$ Rom 14:21 "It is right not to eat meat or drink wine"; nanoy-itm-gine nzoyo c-"ginc ShAmél I 38:1 "Not seeking is better than seeking." For the compatibility of $\tau \bar{M}-$ and $\tau \boldsymbol{T P}-$, cf. 359.

## STATIVE

162 Some Egyptian Coptic verbs are also actualized in a 'stative' form, also called 'qualitative', which expresses being-in-a-state. The stative describes the enduring state of a subject in a condition that is associated with verbal action or verbally expressed acquisition of a quality. E.g. com 'is chosen' (is in the enduring state of having been chosen), is exquisite'; mooyt 'is dead' (is in the state of having died); оүов(1) 'is white'. In madendictinan entries, the stative form is identified by a raised dagger: $\operatorname{cor} \mathrm{rr}^{\dagger}, \operatorname{gog}\left(\mathrm{Yr}^{\dagger}\right.$, ghc. All statives, except the five listed in $\mathbf{1 6 8 ( c )}$, can contras in meanirg one way or another with the corresponding infinitive; cl. 168(a)(b). E.g.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { I am exqui. ite }{ }^{+} \text {, chosen }{ }^{+} \text {I choose }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { I am dead }{ }^{\dagger} \text { : /dic } \\
\text { I am white }{ }^{\dagger} \text { : I become white }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

The formation of the stative is described in 190. The only syntactic function of the stative is predicate in the durative sentence; its presence signals nexus 248.

For a few statives, no corresponding infinitive is attested in Sahidic, e.g. kı $\omega \circ \gamma^{\dagger}$ ' is fat', zooy' 'is bad'.
Figure 17. Sample from Layton 2004 (p. $126 \S 162$ ) showing standard Coptological usage of a SMALL RAISED DAGGER to mark a certain verb form called the "stative" (or "qualitative"), and here also to mark the meanings of such forms.

## A. Administrative

## 1. Title

Revised proposal to encode additional characters for Greek, Latin, and Coptic in the UCS
2. Requester's name

Michael Everson, Stephen Emmel, Siegfried Richter, Susana Pedro, António Emiliano
3. Requester type (Member body/Liaison/Individual contribution)

Individual contribution.
4. Submission date

2010-09-21
5. Requester's reference (if applicable)
6. Choose one of the following:

6a. This is a complete proposal
Yes.
6b. More information will be provided later
No.

## B. Technical - General

1. Choose one of the following:

1a. This proposal is for a new script (set of characters)
No.
1b. Proposed name of script
1c. The proposal is for addition of character(s) to an existing block
Yes
1d. Name of the existing block
Coptic and Supplementary Punctuation
2. Number of characters in proposal
$6(2,4)$.
3. Proposed category (A-Contemporary; B.1-Specialized (small collection); B.2-Specialized (large collection); C-Major extinct; D-Attested extinct; E-Minor extinct; F-Archaic Hieroglyphic or Ideographic; G-Obscure or questionable usage symbols)

## Category B.1.

4a. Is a repertoire including character names provided?
Yes.
4b. If YES, are the names in accordance with the "character naming guidelines"?
Yes.
4c. Are the character shapes attached in a legible form suitable for review?
Yes.
5a. Who will provide the appropriate computerized font (ordered preference: True Type, or PostScript format) for publishing the standard?
Michael Everson.
5b. If available now, identify source(s) for the font (include address, e-mail, ftp-site, etc.) and indicate the tools used:
Michael Everson, Fontographer.
6a. Are references (to other character sets, dictionaries, descriptive texts etc.) provided?
Yes.
6b. Are published examples of use (such as samples from newspapers, magazines, or other sources) of proposed characters attached?
Yes.
7. Does the proposal address other aspects of character data processing (if applicable) such as input, presentation, sorting, searching, indexing, transliteration etc. (if yes please enclose information)?
Yes.
8. Submitters are invited to provide any additional information about Properties of the proposed Character(s) or Script that will assist in correct understanding of and correct linguistic processing of the proposed character(s) or script.
See above.

## C. Technical - Justification

1. Has this proposal for addition of character(s) been submitted before? If YES, explain.

No.
2a. Has contact been made to members of the user community (for example: National Body, user groups of the script or characters, other experts, etc.)?
Yes.
2b. If YES, with whom?
The authors are members of the user community.
2c. If YES, available relevant documents
3. Information on the user community for the proposed characters (for example: size, demographics, information technology use, or publishing use) is included?
Copticists, Biblical scholars, Medievalists, Latinists, and other scholars.
4 a . The context of use for the proposed characters (type of use; common or rare)
Used historically and in modern editions.
4b. Reference

5a. Are the proposed characters in current use by the user community?
Yes.
5b. If YES, where?
Scholarly publications.
6a. After giving due considerations to the principles in the $\mathrm{P} \& \mathrm{P}$ document must the proposed characters be entirely in the BMP?
Yes.
6b. If YES, is a rationale provided?
Yes.
6c. If YES, reference
Accordance with the Roadmap. Keep with other Coptic and diacritical marks.
7. Should the proposed characters be kept together in a contiguous range (rather than being scattered)?

No.
8a. Can any of the proposed characters be considered a presentation form of an existing character or character sequence?
No.
8b. If YES, is a rationale for its inclusion provided?
8c. If YES, reference
9 a . Can any of the proposed characters be encoded using a composed character sequence of either existing characters or other proposed characters?
No.
9b. If YES, is a rationale for its inclusion provided?
9c. If YES, reference
10a. Can any of the proposed character(s) be considered to be similar (in appearance or function) to an existing character?
Yes.
10b. If YES, is a rationale for its inclusion provided?
Yes.
10c. If YES, reference
See §3 above.
11a. Does the proposal include use of combining characters and/or use of composite sequences?
No.
11b. If YES, is a rationale for such use provided?
11c. If YES, reference
11d. Is a list of composite sequences and their corresponding glyph images (graphic symbols) provided?
11e. If YES, reference
12a. Does the proposal contain characters with any special properties such as control function or similar semantics?
No.
12b. If YES, describe in detail (include attachment if necessary)
13a. Does the proposal contain any Ideographic compatibility character(s)?
No.
13b. If YES, is the equivalent corresponding unified ideographic character(s) identified?


[^0]:    ${ }^{85}$ Vgl. zu den drei Stellungen des Punktes in alten Handschriften und der damit gegebenen verschicdenen Trennkraft besonders Quecke: LkEv sa., 15 f .
    ${ }^{86}$ Vgl. dic Beschreibung dieser beider Fuchstaben oben S. 32.34.

