

ISO/IEC JTC1/SC2/WG2  
Coded Character Set  
Secretariat: Japan (JISC)

**Doc. Type:** Disposition of comments

**Title:** Disposition of comments on PDAM1 to ISO/IEC 10646 4<sup>th</sup> edition

**Source:** Michel Suignard (project editor)

**Project:** JTC1 02.10646.00.01.00.04

**Status:** For review by WG2

**Date:** 2014-02-26

**Distribution:** WG2

**Reference:** SC2 N4306 WG2 N4513 N4533 N4520

**Medium:** Paper, PDF file

Comments were received from the following members: Japan, UK, and USA. The following document is the disposition of those comments. The disposition is organized per country.

Note – With some minor exceptions, the full content of the ballot comments have been included in this document to facilitate the reading. The dispositions are inserted in between these comments and are marked in **Underlined Bold Serif text**, with explanatory text in italicized serif.

Based on these dispositions, all countries have now positive votes.

## **Japan: Negative**

### **Technical comment**

#### **T1. Page 29 Clause 31 – Nushu block**

The new Nushu block may be incomplete. See WG2N4513 for details. Japan wants WG2 to consider the Nushu block based on the comments in N4513.

#### Proposed change by Japan

No concrete change proposal is given at this time.

#### **Accepted in principle**

*The Nushu repertoire is postponed to Amendment 2 for further review. An ad hoc meeting was held at WG2 meeting #62 (document WG2 N4561). One major concern from Japan was the stability (or lack of) of the proposed names. This was addressed by changing to a rule based system for Nushu names. The repertoire will be reviewed by all interested experts through ballot comments on PDAM2.*

As a result of this disposition, Japan changed its vote to YES.

## **UK: Negative**

### **Technical comment:**

#### **T1. Annex A.1 Annex A.2.2 – Nushu table**

The NUSHU block is defined as 1B100-1B2FF, but only characters in the range 1B100-1B28C are assigned. As there is no proposal to encode additional Nushu characters at present, and the number of any additional Nushu characters that may be needed in the future has not been determined, it would be better to make the block 1B100-1B28F rather than reserve 112 code points that may either never be required or may prove to be insufficient.

#### Proposed change by UK:

Change NUSHU block allocation to 1B100-1B28F.

#### **Accepted**

*See also comment T1 from Japan.*

*Accepted with the understanding that the block may be resized again based on further study of the repertoire.*

By fully accepting the proposed change by UK, the vote is turned to YES

## **USA: Positive with comments**

### **Technical comment:**

#### **TE.1. Grantha**

The U.S. recommends moving GRANTHA SIGN COMBINING ANUSVARA ABOVE from U+1137D to U+11300. Moving the ANUSVARA to the beginning of the block would keep it in line with the location of the anusvara in other Indic script blocks.

Proposed change by US:

Move U+1137D GRANTHA SIGN COMBINING ANUSVARA ABOVE to U+11300.

**Accepted**

### **Editorial comments:**

#### **E.1. Old Italic**

The spelling for the header above U+1032F should be corrected to “Raetic” instead of “Rhetic” (cf. WG2 N4395).

Proposed change by US:

Change the spelling in the header above U+1032F to “Raetic.”

**Accepted**

#### **E.2. Arabic Extended-A**

The glyph for U+08E3 TURNED DAMMA BELOW is too small. The glyph should instead be based on the inverted shape of U+064F ARABIC DAMMA.

Proposed change by US:

Correct the glyph for U+08E3 TURNED DAMMA BELOW to a glyph based on U+064F ARABIC DAMMA.

**Accepted in principle**

*Based on receiving a corrected font from the contributor editor.*

#### **E.3. Malayalam**

The glyph for U+0D4F MALAYALAM LETTER CHILLU LLL has a winding error and should be corrected.

Proposed change by US:

Correct the glyph for U+0D4F MALAYALAM LETTER CHILLU LLL.

**Accepted in principle**

#### **E.4. Nushu**

The glyphs at U+1B1D8 and U+1B211 have winding errors, and the glyph lines in U+1B129 are thicker than U+1B139. The U.S. recommends the Nushu font be carefully reviewed, the winding errors corrected, and stroke thickness be made more consistent.

Proposed change by US:

Review the Nushu font as noted.

**Accepted**

*See also comment T1 from Japan and T1 from UK.*

### **E.5. Devanagari Extended**

The U.S. requests the glyph for A8FD DEVANAGARI JAIN OM be changed to better reflect the shape shown in the original proposal, WG2 N4408.

Proposed change by US:

Replace the current glyph for A8FD DEVANAGARI JAIN OM to one closer to that in WG2 N4408.

**Accepted in principle**

*Based on receiving a corrected font from the author of the proposal.*