Comments were received from the following P-Members: China, Ireland, Japan, Korea, UK, and USA, and from the following O-Member: Sri Lanka. The following document is the disposition of those comments. The disposition is organized per country.

Note – The full content of the ballot comments (minus some figures and charts) have been included in this document to facilitate the reading. The dispositions are inserted in between these comments and are marked in Underlined Bold Serif text, with explanatory text in italicized serif.
China, Positive with comments
China votes YES to SC2n3982 with the following comments on script TAI THAM:

T1. Names of digits
China requires to change character names of U+1A80 through U+1A89. The names should be changed to TAI THAM HORA DIGIT ZERO … NINE, as agreed on ad hoc in Chiang Mai early this year. (WG2n3379 Item 2)
Propose acceptance
(Note that the WG2 document number reference above has been changed from 3389 to 3379 as clearly this was a typo. WG2N3389 describes a proposal to encode Kaithi script and has no mention of Thai Tham while N3379 is the Tai Tham Ad-hoc Meeting Report).
This comment is also implicitly supported by the comment T.3 from Ireland, T.2 from UK, and the comment T.8a) from USA.

T2. Fonts
China requires to change fonts to Khuen/Lue style, as agreed on ad hoc in Chiang Mai early this year. (WG2n3379 Item 4)
Accepted
It is the understanding of the editor that the Khuen/Lue style has been used for all iterations of the various amendments proposal including the Tai Tham script. So there is no need to change the font style. The ad-hoc simply re-iterates and confirms the current preference. Note the specific errata in comment T.4.

T3. Moving some characters
China requires to move U+1A56 to U+1A50, move U+1A50 through U+1A55 to U+1A51 through U+1A56, as agreed on ad hoc in Chiang Mai early this year. (WG2n3379 Item 6)
Propose acceptance in principle
Note that the Item 6 in N3379 describes a position move, but not the final position because consequent items in the same document reshuffle the code positions. The final positions of these characters in the chart section of that document are 1A4C-1A52.

T4. Representative Glyph for U+1A31
China requires to change the Representative Glyph for U+1A31 to Khuen/Lue style, as agreed on ad hoc in Chiang Mai early this year. (WG2n3379 Item 7)
Accepted
Note the character in question is TAI THAM LETTER HIGH RATHA and is now proposed at code position 1A2E.

T5. Remove Language Specific Letters
China requires to remove some language specific letters, as agreed on ad hoc in Chiang Mai early this year. (WG2n3379 Item 8)
Propose acceptance
That item 8 proposes the removal of four letters (1A28, 1A2B, 1A2D, and 1A4F) and the renaming of the former 1A29 (now moved to 1A28 because of the removal of 1A28) to TAI THAM LETTER HIGH CHA

For details, read WG2n3379 please

Note that WG2 N3379 has the following additional requests:

Item 9: Addition of a new TAI THAM CONSONANT SIGN MA at position 1A5C (after reshuffle)

Item 10a: Removal of 1A5C TAI THAM SIGN KHUEN MAI KANG LAI and close the gap, change the glyph of the remaining TAI THAM SIGN MAI KANG LAI (before at 1A5B, now 1A58 after reshuffle) to the shape of the Northern Thai font style similar character described at position 1A5A in page 14 of WG2 N3207R (document N3379 is relatively imprecise there).

Item 10b: addition of a new TAI THAM SIGN KHUEN-LUE KARAN at 1A7C with the glyph shape of the removed 1A5B TAI THAM SIGN MAI KANG LAI (mentioned incorrectly as being located at 1A58 in FPDAM5 by N3379).
Item 11: Move 1A7E TAI THAM LETTER GREAT SA after TAI THAM LETTER LAE, meaning that it moves to 1A54.

Item 12: Rename TAI THAM SIGN LOLA HOY KONGNOT to TAI THAM CONSONANT SIGN LA TANG LAI now located at 1A57 after reshuffle.

Not mentioned in N3379 is the move to Consonants from Independent vowels for TAI THAM LETTER A and TAI THAM LETTER LOW HA. It is not clear whether this is intentional or a typo. Overall the chart pages have been deemed to be correct when there were conflicts/imprecision in the document prose.
Ireland, Negative

Ireland disapproves the draft with the technical and editorial comments given below. Acceptance of these comments and appropriate changes to the text will change our vote to approval.

Technical comments

T1. Page 9, Row 0D8, Sinhala.
Following on from discussions with members of the Sri Lankan standards body regarding a need for further consultation and study of the Sinhala digits, Ireland requests that the characters under ballot in columns 0D8E and 0D8F be removed from FPDAM 5 and placed on a subsequent ballot.
Propose acceptance
Also supported by comment T.7 from USA and letter from the Sri Lanka Standards Institution. (Note that column 0D8E and 0D8F are understood as being 0DEX and 0DFx respectively, based on context)

Ireland requests that the character name at U+11FD HANGUL JONGSEONG KIYEOK-KHIEUK be corrected to HANGUL JONGSEONG KIYEOK KHIEKUK since the final -H is missing
Propose acceptance
Also requested by comment T.5 from USA. See also comment T.5..

T.3 Page 16, Row 1A2: Tai Tham.
With reference to ISO/IEC JTC1/SC2/WG2 N3379 “Tai Tham Ad-hoc Meeting Report”, Ireland requests that the character set and code table in FPDAM 5 be replaced by that in N3379
Propose acceptance
See disposition from Chinese comments for further discussion and details.

T.4 Page 16, Row 1A2:
Tai Tham. With reference to ISO/IEC JTC1/SC2/WG2 N3384 “Tai Tham Subjoined Variants”, Ireland requests that U+1A5D TAI THAM CONSONANT SIGN BA and U+1A5E TAI THAM CONSONANT SIGN SA be added to FPDAM 5.
Propose acceptance
Also requested by comment T.2 from UK and T.8b) from USA.

T.5 Page 14, Row 110: Hangul Jamo Extended-A.
Ireland requests that the character names at U+A96E HANGUL CHOSEONG RIEUL-KHIEUK and U+A973 HANGUL CHOSEONG PIEUP-KHIEUK be corrected to HANGUL CHOSEONG RIEUL-KHIEUKH and HANGUL CHOSEONG PIEUP-KHIEUKH respectively since the final -H is missing.
Propose acceptance
Also requested by comment T.5 from USA.

T.6 Page 40, Row 10B0: Avestan.
Ireland reiterates its support for the retention of U+10B38 AVESTAN SEPARATION POINT, a character distinct from FULL STOP which is also used in Geldner’s edition of the Avesta. We have reviewed N3336 but do not agree that workarounds like lowering U+2E37 are the correct way to encode the character in question. The complaint about the baseline drawing in N3197 is not well-made; those lines were indicative and were constructed by shifting out-of-text graphic elements (two horizontal hairlines) around in Quark XPress. The AVESTAN SEPARATION POINT does sit on the baseline; there is no real “discrepancy between the two documents regarding the location” of the character. We understand that the U.S. National Body’s position is that there are too many dots in the standard; we maintain our view that script-specific punctuation is preferable, in general, to over-unification. Ireland stands by its comments to PDAM 5 on this point, which is in accord with the comments of Professor Jost Gippert, one of the specialists who worked on the encoding of Avestan. We would not agree to remove this character from FPDAM 5.
**WG2 discussion**

See US comment T.6. Note that we have two negative votes (Ireland and USA) requesting opposite resolutions. Finally, removal of the symbol does not preclude further study and encoding in a future amendment.

**Editorial comments**

**E1. Page 12, Row 110: Hangul Jamo.**

Ireland notes discrepancies between the font used in Unicode 5.0 and the font used in FPDAM 5. For instance, U+110C HANGUL CHOSEONG CIEUC and U+119E HANGUL JUNGSEONG ARAEA differ between them. Ireland requests that WG2 work with the Korean delegates, the Unicode Liaison, and other interested National Bodies in determining the preferred shapes for this and similar characters.

**Propose acceptance**

The differences are indeed visible. After further study, it is clear that Unicode 4.0 chart and ISO/IEC 10646:2003 share the same glyph set for the Hangul Jamos. Unicode 5.0 has a new glyph set and Amendment 5 is a variant of the Unicode 5.0 set. This makes the amendment 5 charts significantly different from the original 10646:2003 and introduces many more inconsistencies with other Jamo representations in the standard (Hangul Compatibility Jamos in 3130-316F, Jamo symbols in the 32xx block, Halfwidth Hangul variants in FFxx). This should be fixed in the next phase of this amendment.


The same comment applies here as in E1.

**Propose acceptance**

**E3. Page 24, Row A96: Hangul Jamo Extended-B.**

The same comment applies here as in E1.

**Propose acceptance**

**E4. Page 48, Row 1300: Egyptian Hieroglyphs.**

Ireland notes that the font is missing in the annotation for U+1309D EGYPTIAN HIEROGLYPH D036, and U+1313F EGYPTIAN HIEROGLYPH G001. There is also an extra comma in the note at U+133ED EGYPTIAN HIEROGLYPH Z004.

**Propose acceptance**
Japan, Negative

Japan disapproves SC2 N3982 (ISO/IE10646 FPDAM 5) with the following comments. Japan will change its vote if those comments are addressed appropriately.

Technical comments

JPT1-ARIB CJK Ideographs:
Japan supports the consensus on the IRG #29 meeting regarding so-called ARIB ideographs. Take a character, J_ARIB-757D, currently on FA6D, out of the compatibility ideographs and move it into the unified ideographs. See IRG document N 1347R for details. The amendment text and related files should be updated appropriately.
(Also see JPE1.)

WG2 discussion
There seems to be a common position to move J_ARIB-757D from FA6D into a location attributed to unified ideographs.
For the other 5 CJK characters see disposition of comment T.1 from UK.

JPT2: Reference to Unicode 5.2
The draft amendment text contains several references to the Unicode Standard 5.2, including the collection 309. Japan is not sure it will be published on time. Japan wants to know the plans and schedules of Unicode 5.2 publishing from the Unicode Consortium. Japan wants to remove those references from the amendment texts unless a satisfactory plan is provided.

WG2 discussion
This has been common practice for many amendments. In fact amendments 1, 2, and 4 have such reference to Unicode Standard versions. To allow parallel processing and to keep the two standard synchronized it is necessary to forecast future release of Unicode. As Unicode planning firms up, the related text in the amendments is updated. For example, for a while it was thought that Unicode 5.1 would coincide with Amendment 3. At some point it became clear that Unicode 5.1 would instead synchronize with Amendment 4, therefore the references were moved from Amendment 3 to Amendment 4. In fact, because some of the references include normative parts such as the Bidi algorithm and normalization, amending 10646 without a corresponding Unicode new version may create issues. Removing Unicode 5.2 references would de facto reverse the normative links to Unicode 5.1 which would not make a lot of sense with the large delta in character content.
From internal discussion within the Unicode Consortium, it is expected that it will come up with a Unicode Standard version 5.2 synchronized with Amendment 5. This could as well be covered by a liaison statement from the Liaison officer from the Unicode Consortium.

JPT3: New Chart format
On the right column of page 3, there is a new text for 34.2. The third item reads "Normative character alias (one preceded by "※") which is a formal, unique, and stable alternate name for a character." The current sentence seems redundant for a normative clause. Considering these items are lead by a sentence "The following information items are normative" and a NOTE follows it, just a simple list item text such as "Character alias preceded by ※" is enough.

Propose accepted in principle
The text will be changed to remove all qualifiers related to normative or formal. The bullet point will read:

- Character alias (one preceded by "※") which is a unique and stable alternate name for a character.

Editorial comments

JPE1: On the left column of page 5, regarding the part of the code chart for new CJK UNIFIED IDEOGRAPHICS (9FC4 and 9FC5), the current chat uses low quality images of the new characters. Use TrueType font supplied by Japan to typeset them. (Also see JPT1.)

Accepted
JPE2: On the left column of page 3, there is a new text for 27.3, "However, CJK Unified Ideographs Extension C uses a different format." The word "However" seems inappropriate here and should be removed.

Accepted

Other comment:

JPO1: On the right column of page 2 to the next page, there is an "Editor's note". It is unsure what it means. Japan wants to see clearer explanation and proposed changes.

WG2 discussion
The editor's note covers two parts:

1. First section, covering non controversial changes implied by the WG2 resolutions mentioned above (M51.9, 51.10, and 51.11) including the transition to the full numeric reference to the KangXi dictionary. For example, it was found that virtual references could not be used as normative references. Because these changes were not explicitly called for by the resolution, the editor felt it was preferable to mention them in the amendment itself.

2. Second section, covering controversial issues concerning KangXi referencing. This should be fixed before publication. Note the US comment T.4 in that effect. If these 8 references cannot be agreed on, they must be pulled out of the standard and replaced by another reference (for example G_HZ). If there are no other references, the existence of the characters altogether can be questioned.
Korea (ROK), Negative

XX_ DISAPPROVAL OF THE DRAFT FOR REASONS ON THE ATTACHED
  _XX_ Acceptance of these reasons and appropriate changes in the text
      will change our vote to approval

Technical comments.

T1. p. 1, right column
T1.1 Change as follows:
  1) (syllable-initial or initial consonant)
     --> (syllable-initial character or initial consonant)
  2) (syllable-peak or medial vowel)
     --> (syllable-peak character or medial vowel)
  3) (syllable-final or final consonant)
     --> (syllable-final character or final consonant)
T1.2 Rationale:
  1) Since "syllable-initial (-final)" implies consonantal,
     "syllable-initial character" seems better than "syllable-initial consonant".
  2) Likewise, since "syllable-peak" implies vowel, "syllable-peak character" seems better than "syllable-peak vowel".

Propose not acceptance
The suggested change would in fact undo a change introduced by amendment 3 which removed the term
‘character’ form these 3 annotations, recognizing the fact that Choseong, Jungseong, and Jongseong can be
made of multiple characters each. Amendment 5 introduce a new model where new Jamo characters are being
added allowing old hangul syllable to be represented by simple LVT sequences, but this does not deprecate the
former model, they just co-exist. Moving the equivalence declaration inside the Choseong, Jungseong, and
Jongseong description allowed the two models to be described without controversy.

An alternative is to re-introduce plural to characters so these annotations would read:
syllable-initial characters or initial consonants
syllable-peak characters or medial vowels
syllable-final characters or final consonants
This could be described as accepted in principle, if the Korean NB is willing.

T2. p. 12,
T2.1 Replace the glyph for U110D with a correct one.
T2.2 Rationale:
  - The glyph for U110D is the same as that for U115F.
  - The glyph for U115F is fine.
  - However, the glyph for U110D is incorrect and therefore should be changed.
  - We will provide the corrected font.

Propose acceptance in principle
See Irish comment E.1. It is assumed that the comment should read 114F instead of 115F. It is interesting to
note that the Unicode 5.0 charts have the same issue, while Unicode 4.0 charts are aligned with ISO/IEC
10646:2003. Any fix should not break consistencies among Jamo consistencies in various blocks. Preferably a
corrected font should either be compatible with 10646:2003 style, or provide a full replacement for all Korean
characters and be agreeable by all interested parties, including liaison organizations.
T3. Change six (6) phrases (three alternatives are given below).
T3.1 six (6) relevant phrases
p. 13, left column, "Initial consonants"
p. 14, left column, "Medial vowels"
p. 14, right column, "Final consonants"
p. 24, right column, "Initial consonants"
p. 28, left column, "Medial vowels"
p. 28, left column, "Final consonants"

T3.2 Rationale:
- Our national position has been to use either "Choseong (Jungseong, Jongseong)" or "syllable-initial (-peak, -final) character", as used in ISO/IEC 10646 since the 1993 edition.
- We suggest that one of the following alternatives be used:
  1) alternative 1: delete those six (6) phrases.
  2) if we want to have some phrases in those 6 places, change as follows:
     2-1) alternative 2A:
     p. 13, left column, "Initial consonants" -> "Syllable-initial Characters"
p. 14, left column, "Medial vowels" -> "Syllable-peak Characters"
p. 14, right column, "Final consonants" -> "Syllable-final Characters"
p. 24, right column, "Initial consonants" -> "Syllable-initial Characters"
p. 28, left column, "Medial vowels" -> "Syllable-peak Characters"
p. 28, left column, "Final consonants" -> "Syllable-final Characters"
     2-2) alternative 2B:
     p. 13, left column, "Initial consonants"
     -> "Syllable-initial Characters or Initial consonants"
p. 14, left column, "Medial vowels"
     -> "Syllable-peak Characters or Medial vowels"
p. 14, right column, "Final consonants"
     -> "Syllable-final Characters or Final consonants"
p. 24, right column, "Initial consonants"
     -> "Syllable-initial Characters or Initial consonants"
p. 28, left column, "Medial vowels"
     -> "Syllable-peak Characters or Medial vowels"
p. 28, left column, "Final consonants"
     -> "Syllable-final Characters or Final consonants"

Propose not acceptance
See disposition of comment T.1, the whole point of the 3 annotations modifications described in T.1 is to allow either description to be used in further parts of the standard, including the chart pages. Furthermore, to simplify the chart generating process, there is broad consensus to merge the two formats used by ISO/IEC 10646 and the Unicode Standard. This requires some adjustment of the content and this has already resulted in changes in the original Unicode derived format such as the suppression of terms like 'Korean combining alphabet'. Based on this it seems burdensome to repeat at each occurrence the equivalence declaration.
T4. Add an annotation for U+3180
- According to Resolution M50.34 (Hangul Jamo additions), WG2 accepted to add five annotations proposed in document WG2 N3172 (2006-09-27). However, one of those five annotations is missing in the FPDAM5.
- Therefore we request that one annotation be added as shown below:

U+3180 HANGUL LETTER SSANGIEUNG
=> HANGUL LETTER SSANGIEUNG (ssangyesieung)
- By the way, the relevant page (page 227 in ISO/IEC 10646:2003) is not included in FPDAM5.

Propose acceptance in principle
All these annotations were added by text located on top of page 5 of the amendment. So nothing is missing from the amendment and because the chart page including 3180 did not have any character addition or significant glyph change it was not included in the amendment, only instructions. It just happens that the other 4 annotations were located in a page where new characters were added.

However the text adding these annotations does not reflect the new chart format, so it will be amended as follows:

and new annotations at the following code positions:

11EC HANGUL JONGSEONG IEUNG-KIYEOK
= yesieung-kiyeok
11ED HANGUL JONGSEONG IEUNG-SSANGKIYEOK
= yesieung-ssangkiyeok
11EE HANGUL JONGSEONG SSANGIEUNG
= ssangyesieung
11EF HANGUL JONGSEONG IEUNG-KHIEUKH
= yesieung-khieukh

Add the following annotation to the character 3180.

3180 HANGUL LETTER SSANGIEUNG
= ssangyesiung

T5. p. 34, left column
- For UF9B8, the correct mapping seems U96B7, NOT U96B8.
- We suggest that
  1) delete 96B8 entry and
  2) keep 96B7 entry only.

Propose non acceptance
The entry ‘≡ 96B8 隬 describes the fact that F9B8 is transformed into 96B8 by normalization which is a normative part of the standard (see clause 3). It is also related to the CJKC_SR.txt entry for that character: 0F9B8;096B8;;;;K0-6766;;
Removing the entry would just remove a useful piece of information which shows a de facto equivalence. The additional entry mentioning 96B7 simply shows that the original mapping is less than optimal but it cannot be changed.

T6. Change K5 entries in CJKU_SR.txt file
- We decided to change the K5 reference format from "K5Hdddd" (decimal) to "K5-hhhh" (hexadecimal) [see p. 2, right column of FPDAM5].
- The change is well reflected in the code table of FPDAM5.
- However, the change is NOT reflected in CJKU_SR.txt file of FPDAM5.
- Therefore, we request that the change be reflected in CJKU_SR.txt file.
- **Accepted in principle**
  *Due to production errors, an incorrect CJKU_SR.txt file was initially distributed with the ballot documents. The file was later corrected and the member bodies were alerted. The corrected file contains the appropriate K5 format, for example:*
  
  2A70F;;;;K5-01A2;;;;;
  
  *(note that the characters is at a slightly different location which was always correct in the chart section of FPDAM5). The editor regrets the confusion."

**T7. Delete one line from CJKU_SR.txt file.**

- According to M51.11.g, we decided to delete one Hanja suggested by Rep. of Korea: its reference is K5H00029 (or K5-001D in a new format).
- CJKU_SR.txt file still contains that character.
- We suggest that the following line be removed from CJKU_SR.txt file:

  2B265;;;;K5H00029;;;;;

  *Accepted in principle*

  *See previous comment. Due to reshuffle of CJK Ext C, it would have now been located between 2B22B and 2B22C and is clearly not there:*

  2B22B;;;;TD-4445;;;;;
  2B22C;;;;TD-4448;;;;;
The UK votes to DISAPPROVE the amendment, with the following technical comments. Satisfactory resolution of comments T.1 and T.2 will change our vote to APPROVAL.

**Technical comments**

**T.1 ARIB Unified and Compatibility Ideographs**

The UK has grave concerns over the encoding of the two unified ideographs 9FC4..9FC5 and the four compatibility ideographs FA6B..FA6E requested by Japan.

**T1.a)** In particular, the UK objects to the encoding of U+9FC4 (ARIB#47) as a unified ideograph as it is a unifiable variant of U+6881. We note that this character has the perhaps unique distinction of having been removed from Amd.5 with one hand (it was U+2ACAD in PDAM5) and added back to Amd.5 with the other (see Resolutions M51.10-11).

**WG2 discussion**

Note that the revised version of WG2 N3318R justifies the addition as being cognate with U+6881 but with different abstract shape. Concerning the removal from Extension C, it seems in fact a wise move to remove it from the main ext C block if it is being processed in another venue in the same amendment. Note that the character still seems to be in Extension D. If the character is accepted in this amendment, it seems however that it should also get the T source reference it had in Extension C: TC-4A76.

**T1.b)** On the other hand U+FA6D (ARIB#93) is not cognate with U+7953 according to the Chinese source, and so it may be a candidate for encoding as a unified ideograph.

**Proposed acceptance**

See also Japanese comment JPT1.

**T1.c)** There is also the wider issue of whether any new compatibility ideographs should be accepted for encoding now that the Ideographic Variation Database (IVD) is ready to be incorporated into the standard. We do not believe that it is helpful to have two different mechanisms for encoding unifiable ideographs (either as compatibility ideographs or by means of IVS sequences), as it can only cause confusion amongst users as to which mechanism is the appropriate one to use. With regard to the six ARIB characters, we note that five of them could be represented by means of the Adobe-Japan1 IVS collection <http://www.unicode.org/ivd/data/2007-12-14/>:

- U+FA6B (ARIB#39) = <U+6075 U+E0101>
- U+FA6C (ARIB#67) = <U+242EE U+E0101>
- U+FA6D (ARIB#93) = <U+7953 U+E0101>
- U+FA6E (ARIB#105) = <U+8218 U+E0101>
- U+9FC4 (ARIB#47) = <U+6881 U+E0101>

We do not believe that new compatibility ideographs should be encoded when they could be represented as IVSes, which have the advantage over compatibility ideographs of not being lost during the process of normalization. However, it is not clear to us whether it would be appropriate to represent these ARIB characters by means of the corresponding Adobe-Japan1 IVSes or whether a new ARIB IVS collection should be defined instead. We hope that the issue of the quality control of the IVD, and the relationship between the IVD and ISO/IEC 10646 will be discussed at WG2 #52.

**WG2 discussion**

To be a viable alternative to compatibility character, at least one IVS collection need to be referenced by ISO/IEC 10646. As of now the reference is empty. See similar comment T.2 from USA.

**T1.d)** In the light of these concerns, and given that there has not been unanimous agreement on the encoding of these six characters at either WG2 #51 or IRG #29, we request that 9FC4..9FC5 and FA6B..FA6E be removed from Amd.5.

**WG2 discussion**

In fact the WG2#51 was quasi unanimous in accepting inclusion these characters in the amendment ballot (with all countries present, including UK, in favor with only China abstaining) in its resolution M51.10. Concerning IRG#29, the resolution 29.9 was again accepted quasi-unanimously (UK part of the approving parties, with only US abstaining) on accepting these characters and asking Japan to revise its document for
 submission to WG2 (document WG2 N3318R, also IRG N1347R). So the argument presented here seems a bit far-fetched. The inclusion or not of these 6 characters should be based only on technical merit, not on perceived lack of unanimity.
An ad-hoc group should meet during this WG2 meeting and determine separately the fate of the now 3 characters proposed for encoding in the CJK unified section and of the other 3 characters that could either be encoded as compatibility characters or probably more successfully as IVS sequences using the newly registered Adobe-Japan1 IVS collection. If an ad-hoc can come to a conclusion, there is no reason to postpone the decision.

T.2 Tai Tham
T.2.a) The UK supports the recommendations given in the Tai Tham Ad-hoc Meeting Report (N3379).
Proposed acceptance
See also comment T.3 from Ireland and T.8a from USA.

T.2.b) We also request the addition of the two subjoined characters proposed in N3384 to Amd.5.
Propose acceptance
See also comment T.4 from Ireland and T.8b from USA.
USA: Negative:
The US National Body is voting no with technical and editorial comments on the following SC2 ballot SC2 N3982 […] Acceptance of technical comments T3-T8 will change our vote to yes.

Technical Comments:

T.1 U Source reference
The amendment currently adds the Unicode U source “UTC” with the reference “The Unicode Standard 5.1-2008.” We recommend to replace this reference by “Unicode Technical Report #45, U-source Ideographs”, as this new technical report provides more details about the origin of those characters.

Propose acceptance

T.2 New collection numbers (page 3)
The end of the third paragraph of Clause 20.5 and the following note currently read:
Variations sequences composed of a unified ideograph as the base character and one of VARIATION SELECTOR-17 to VARIATION SELECTOR-256 from the Supplementary Special-purpose Plane (SSP) are registered in the Ideographic Variation Database defined by Unicode Technical Standard #37.

NOTE 2 - The Ideographic Variation Database is currently empty. When entries are registered, these variation sequences will be referenced by this standard.

Following the procedure defined by Unicode Technical Standard #37, a new version of the Ideographic Variation Database has been accepted on December 12, 2007. This version is identified as ‘2007-12-14’, and contains 14,651 sequences, covering the repertoire of the Adobe Japan1 repertoire. We suggest to replace NOTE 2 by the following paragraph or similar text:

This version of the standard incorporates by reference the variation sequences listed in version 2007-12-14 of the Ideographic Variation Database, as described at <http://www.unicode.org/ivd/data/2007-12-14>.

Propose acceptance
See disposition of comment T1.c) from UK.

T.3 CJK Compatibility ideographs
While we entirely agree with the goal of establishing round-tripping between the ARIB character set and ISO/IEC 10646, we believe that there is now a better solution than encoding compatibility ideographs.

Consider the case of 恵 and 恵 which are distinct in the ARIB character set. In the model of ISO/IEC 10646, those two forms are unified as U+6075. To achieve round-tripping, the two forms must be mapped to different sequences of ISO/IEC 10646 characters.

The usual solution is to encode a compatibility ideograph, U+FA6B in this case, and to establish a canonical decomposition of that compatibility ideograph into the unified form, U+6075 in this case. The purpose of the decomposition is to account for the unification. Under that solution 恵 is mapped to the sequence <U+6075>, and 恵 is mapped to the sequence <U+FA6B>. However, this approach imposes a very severe constraint on implementations, as they can never normalize data; any normalization transforms <U+FA6B> into <U+6075> and prevents round-tripping. Essentially, the canonical decomposition defeats the purpose of the compatibility ideograph.

With the advent of variation sequences, we have a better solution at our disposal. Indeed the variation sequence <U+6075, U+E0100> is targetting the form 恵 and the variation sequence <U+6075, U+E0101> is targetting the form 恵, so the ARIB characters can be mapped to those sequences and support round-tripping. Unlike the sequences of the usual solution, these variation sequences remain unchanged by normalization. This gives a much greater freedom to implementations.

The Ideographic Variation Database also contains sequences for the other three pairs of ARIB characters which are unified in ISO/IEC 10646.
In conclusion, we believe that the proposed characters U+FA6B.FA6E fail to effectively achieve the goal of round-tripping the ARIB character set, and that this goal can be achieved today using variation sequences already in the Ideographic Variation Database. We propose to not encode those four characters.

**WG2 discussion**

*See disposition of comment T.1c) from UK.*

**T4. Conflicting sources**

The editor’s note at the bottom of page 2 mentions that there is unresolved conflicting information concerning KangXi source references. We would like these conflicts to be resolved before further progression of the Amendment.

**WG2 discussion**

*See disposition of comment JPO1 from Japan.*

**T5. Names of Hangul jamos**

The names of the three characters 11FD, A96E and A973 should have an additional “H” at their end. The correct names are:

11FD HANGUL JONGSEONG KIYEOK-KHIEUKH
A96E HANGUL CHOSEONG RIEUL-KHIEUKH
A973 HANGUL CHOSEONG PIEUP-KHIEUKH

(Apparently, the original version of the proposal WG2 N3168 had incorrect names, which in turn led to incorrect names in WG2 N3242, which is what was accepted by motion M50.34. As described in WG2 N3257, a revision of WG2 N3168 included the correct names as above.)

**Propose acceptance**

*See disposition of comments T2 and T5 from Ireland.*

**T6. Avestan separation point**

The US NB remains opposed to the encoding of yet another middle dot punctuation at position 10B38 (AVESTAN SEPARATION POINT).

**Wg2 discussion**

*See also disposition of comment T6 from Ireland.*

**T7. Archaic Sinhala numerals**

The US NB has received information that indicates that more investigation is needed for the Sinhala archaic digits and numbers (0DE7-0DEF and 0DF5-0DFF). The US NB would like those characters to be moved to a future amendment.

**Propose acceptance**

*Also supported by comment T.1 from Ireland and letter from the Sri Lanka Standards Institution.*

**T8. Tai Tham**

**T8a)** The US NB supports the recommendations of the Tai Tham ad-hoc meeting as documented in WG2 N3379, …

**Propose acceptance**

*Also supported by comment T.3 from Ireland and T8a) from UK.*

**T8b)** …as well as the inclusion of the two additional characters requested in WG2 N3384.

**Propose acceptance**

*Also supported by comment T.4 from Ireland and T8b) from UK.*

**Technical Comments:**

**E1. Incorrect U Source header**

On page 5, the header of the additional code chart fragment for the new characters 9FC4 and 9FC5 is “U Unicode”. Those characters only have a J source, so the header should be “J”.

**Accepted**
Sri Lanka (O-member)

Sri Lanka Standards Institution (SLSI) is the national Standards body in Sri Lanka, and is also a member of ISO and IEC representing Sri Lanka. …

SLSI recently found that a paper has been submitted by Mr. Michael Everson for inclusion of archaic Sinhala numerals in the Sinhala Code range, and that JTC 1 SC2 has accepted and registered as ISO/IEC 10646 : 2003 IFPD Amd 5 which is being processed to issue an amendment to Sinhala Character Code range.

…, a preliminary investigation by ICTA and the University of Colombo School of Computing (UCSC) shows that while Mr. Everson addresses only ONE type of Sinhala Numerals, but there are in fact at least five types that had been used in our past of history over 2000 years.

…

In view of the above points, it is our considered view that there is currently no agreement on what constitutes Sinhala Numerals and how they should be represented in UCS. It is also clear that there is no pressing or urgent need to immediately encode Sinhala Numerals. We do not agree with the justification provided in Mr. Everson's proposal that contact been made to members of the user community, as the Institutions such as SLSI, ICTA and the Department Official Languages of Sri Lanka were not even made aware of the proposal, and any such urgent need has not arisen for this amendment.

This letter was the basis for postponing the encoding of the proposed Sinhala historical digits and number. See comment T1 from Ireland and T7 from USA.